CONTROL CIRCUITS AND COUNTERS

Control in Digital Systems

- Three primary components of digital systems
 - Datapath (does the work)
 - Control (manager, controller)
 - Memory (storage)

Control in Digital Systems

- Control blocks in chips
 - Typically small amount of HW
 - Typically substantial verilog code
 - Therefore:
 - We typically do not care about small circuit area
 - We typically do not care about fast circuits
 - A possible exception is in cases of "data-dependent control". For example, if arithmetic is required to make control decisions e.g., "change states if *sum < phase*"
 - Verilog code can be complex
 - Many opportunities for bugs
 - May be impossible to test all states and transitions
 - Often the focus of testing by Verification Engineers

Sequential Logic

- *Combinational circuits'* outputs are a function of the circuit's inputs and a time delay
- *Sequential circuits'* outputs are a function of the circuit's inputs, previous circuit state, and a time delay



Control with Finite State Machines

- Of course we can design all standard finite state machines we learned in basic logic design classes
 - Moore type FSM
 - outputs depend only on the present state (**state** below)
 - Mealy type FSM
 - outputs depend on the present state and the inputs



Writing Verilog for State Machines

- Design process
 - Think
 - Determine all necessary registers (state, count, etc.)
 - Think
 - Draw state diagrams if helpful
 - Draw block diagrams if helpful
 - Draw timing diagrams
 - Pick descriptive signal names
 - Think
 - Then...
 - Write verilog
 - Test it

#1 Design Goal for Controllers: Clarity

- Clear code \rightarrow bugs will be less likely
- It is even more important to use good signal naming conventions in control logic than with other digital circuits
 - Ex: state_c \rightarrow state
- Reduce the amount of state if possible (clarity)
 - Ex: It may be better to have one global state machine instead of two separate ones
- Increase the amount of state if helpful (clarity)
 - Ex: It may be better to have two separate global state machines instead of a single global one
 - Ex: Instantiate separate counters to count independent events

I. Counters

- Typically the output is equal to the *state* of the counter
- In normal operation, the *next state* is the *present state* plus or minus a fixed number
 - Or reset to an initial state
 - Or hold the value to reduce power when idle
- Fixed simple circular counter
 - Example: $0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3$



 This would be a good choice if you want something to happen once every four cycles, as an example

I.a. Counters—Count Up

- Count Up Counter - Example: $0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow ... \rightarrow 23$ treset Is it better to count $up \ 0 \rightarrow 23 \ or$ $down \ 23 \rightarrow 0?$ Or no difference?
 - if (count == 8'd023) begin
 ...do something...
- The reset hardware is simple, probably using reset-able FFs
- If the counter's ending count is programmable, detection of the finishing condition requires a more general comparator

I.a. Counters—Count Up

- Example: $0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow ... \rightarrow 23$
- When count gets to 23, hold that value to reduce power dissipation
- Fixed constants: increment = 1, stop at 23 base 10

```
// Example Code version #1
reg [7:0] count; // real FF register
reg [7:0] count c; // combinational logic
always @(count or reset) begin
   // default section is good practice
   // Try hold for default
   count c = count; // hold
   if (count != 8'd023) begin
      count c = count + 8'h01; // increment
   end
   if (reset == 1'b1) begin // high priority
      count c = 8'b0000 0000;
   end
end
```



I.a. Counters—Count Up Example Code Version #2

```
// Example Code version #2
reg [7:0] count; // real FF register
reg [7:0] count_c; // combinational logic
always @(count or reset) begin
    // default increments in this example
    count_c = count + 8'h01; // increment
    if (count == 8'd023) begin
        count_c = count; // hold
    end
    if (reset == 1'b1) begin // high priority
        count_c = 8'b0000_0000;
    end
end
```

- What happens if count is 24 255?
 - What do you want to happen?
- Notice that inputs to the "next state logic" will be the registered count, not count_c

I.b. Counters—Count Down

- Count Down Counter
 - Example: $17 \rightarrow 16 \rightarrow 15 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 0$

preset/reset

- if (count == 8'd000) begin
 ...do something...
- The reset hardware is more complex especially if multiple starting values are needed
- Detection of the finishing condition is requires very simple hardware, conceptually a single NOR gate

I.b. Counters—Count Down

- Example: $17 \rightarrow 16 \rightarrow 15 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 0$
- When count gets to 0, hold that value to reduce power dissipation, and assert *done* output signal
- Fixed constants: increment = -1, stop at 0

```
always @(posedge clock) begin
    count <= #1 count_c;
end</pre>
```

```
reg [7:0] count; // real FF register
reg [7:0] count c; // combinational logic
          done; // output ==1 when done
req
always @(count or reset) begin
   // default section is good practice
   // Let default be decrement
   count c = count - 8'h01; // decrement
   done = 1'b0;
   if (count == 8'h00) begin
      count c = count; // could also be = 8'h00
             = 1'b1;
      done
   end
   if (reset == 1'b1) begin // highest priority
      count c = 8' d017;
   end
end
```

I.c. One-Hot Ring Counter

- One-hot encoding
- One flip-flop for each state
- Requires circuits to initialize in a one-hot configuration
- Minimal hardware, no adders required!
- Zero-time state decode (i.e., each state is fully decoded by a FF output)

